

[25th January 1928]

## PRESENT—cont.

Soundara Pandia Nadar, Mr. W. P. A.  
 Srinivasa Ayyangar, Mr. R.  
 Srinivasa Ayyangar, Mr. T. C.  
 Srinivasan, Rao Sahib R.  
 Subrahmanya Pillai, Mr. Chavadi K.  
 Swami, Mr. K. V. R.  
 Syed Ibrahim Sahib Bahadur, Nattam Dubash  
 Kadir Sahib.  
 Tajudin Sahib Bahadur, Syed.  
 Tampoe, I.C.S., Mr. A.M.C.  
 Tulasiram, Mr. L. K.  
 Uppi Sahib Bahadur, K.

Vanavudaiya Gounder, Mr. S. V.  
 Venkatapati Raju, Mr. P. C.  
 Venkatarama Sastri, C.I.E., Mr. T. R.  
 Venkataramana Ayyangar, Mr. C. V.  
 Venkatarangam Nayudu, Mr. C.  
 Venkataratnam, Mr. B.  
 Venkiah, Mr. S.  
 Watson, I.C.S., Mr. H. A.  
 Wood, Mr. C. E.  
 Zamindar of Gollapalli.  
 Zamindar of Mirzapuram.  
 Zamindar of Seithur.

## I

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

[*Order made by the President of the Madras Legislative Council under Standing Order No. 15.*]

1. Starred questions to be put at a meeting of the Council with their answers shall be printed and placed on the Council table an hour before the President takes his seat.

The President will call out the name of each interpellator in the order in which the names are printed, specify the serial number of his question and make a sufficient pause to give him or any other member a reasonable opportunity of rising in his place and putting a supplementary question. Supplementary questions must be put immediately after the principal questions to which they relate.

2. Questions, not starred, will not be called in Council, but they will be printed with their answers and placed on the table of the House along with the list of starred questions. Oral supplementary questions will not be allowed in regard to unstarred questions.]

## STARRED QUESTIONS

## Civil Justice

*Alleged complaints against the Sub-Judge of Vellore.*

\* 1392 Q.—Mr. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Subordinate Judge of Vellore in O.S. No. 27 of 1927 on the file of the Sub-Court advised a client who appeared before him to file a suit for damages against a vakil alleging that the vakil had neglected to discharge his duty by not attending the Court, in spite of the client's statement in the Court that he was ready to go on with the case, and arrangements having been made by his vakil for the conduct of the case by another vakil;

(b) whether it is also a fact that that Sub-Judge quoted to the client and vakils then present in the Court, a decision of his in a suit for damages by a client against his vakil whilst he, the Sub-Judge, was at Trichinopoly as munsif;

(c) whether the said Subordinate Judge resides in the house of a pleader of the place, having engaged it for a cheap rent;

(d) whether the said Subordinate Judge has, since his transfer to Vellore, taken up for trial old and long contested cases—suits of 1923 and 1924; and if so, how many of them are pending; and

(e) whether the Government will be pleased to make an enquiry into these matters?

25th January 1928]

- A.—(a) & (b) The Government have read an article on the subject which appeared in “Justice” of the 18th October 1927.  
 (c) & (d) The Government have no information.  
 (e) The Government have called for a report.

*Abolition of the Pleaders Examination.*

\* 1393 Q.—Mr. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government contemplate the abolition of the Pleaders Examination; and

(b) if so, whether any decision has been come to, and whether the Government propose to abolish the said examination from 1928?

A.—The High Court has decided to abolish the Pleaders Examination with effect from July 1928.

**Irrigation**

*Investigation of the Thoppiar project.*

\* 1394 Q.—Mr. C. D. APPAVU CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Thoppiar project in the Salem district has been investigated by the Executive Engineer of Salem;

(b) if so, what is the result of the investigation;

(c) whether the Government will be pleased to lay the report of the Executive Engineer on the Council Table; and

(d) when the execution is likely to begin and whether the Government propose to execute the scheme early?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) The plans and estimates are under scrutiny by the Executive Engineer and have not yet reached the Chief Engineer.

(c) This will be considered after the Chief Engineer's report is received.

(d) It cannot be said now.

*Diversion of the left flood bank of the Tambraparni river.*

\* 1395 Q.—Mr. D. THOMAS: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have under consideration any scheme for the diversion of the left flood bank (northern side) of the Tambraparni river down the Srivaikuntam anicut (Tinnevely district);

(b) whether any memorials have been received from the residents of Kilpidagai, Appankoil, Savaramangalam and other villagers protesting against any diversion of the existing left flood bank;

(c) whether the opinions of the local authorities have been obtained on these memorials and if so, whether the hon. Member will be pleased to lay the correspondence on the table of this House;